UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA SOUTHERN DIVISION

SCOTT POWELL,	Civ. No. 4:23-4143
Plaintiff,	
vs.	COMPLAINT
FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION,	
Defendant and Self- Insurer.	

Plaintiff Scott Powell (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), through the undersigned counsel, and for his cause of action against Federal Express Corporation (hereinafter "Defendant and Self-Insurer") states and alleges as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff is an individual residing in Minnehaha County, South Dakota.
- 2. Defendant Federal Express Corporation is a corporation which conducts business in South Dakota, with its principal place of business located in another state.

JURISDICTION

- 3. The amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.
- 4. Jurisdiction is based on 28 U.S.C. § 1332, Diversity of Citizenship.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 5. On July 18, 2018, Scott Powell ("Plaintiff") was driving a FedEx freightliner while working for Defendant.
- 6. Plaintiff was at a complete stop (due to travel congestion in a construction zone) on Highway 212 just outside of Granite Falls, Minnesota, when he was rear-ended by a vehicle driven by Neptali Lagunas.

- 7. Mr. Lagunas was traveling approximately 55 mph when he crashed into Plaintiff's vehicle, forcing Plaintiff to be thrown back into his seat.
- 8. As a result of the crash, Plaintiff was injured and damaged and has suffered and will continue to suffer in the future, physical and mental anguish, and has incurred medical expenses, both in the past and in the future, all to Plaintiff's general and special damages.
- 9. Mr. Lagunas was clearly at fault in causing the rear-end collision in which Plaintiff was injured.
- 10. Settlement negotiations between Plaintiff and Mr. Lagunas's liability insurer were successful, as Mr. Lagunas's insurer offered the policy limits of \$100,000.
- 11. However, Plaintiff's injuries exceed the policy limits as provided by Mr. Lagunas's insurer.
- 12. As such, Defendant as Self-Insurer is responsible for any damages in excess of the policy limits to Plaintiff.
- 13. Upon Plaintiff's information and belief that Defendant Federal Express Corporation was self-insured at the time of the subject matter in this action.
- 14. Plaintiff's attorney has attempted to seek insurance information from Defendant, however, Defendant has failed to provide any such information.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (AGAINST DEFENDANT/SELF-INSURER) BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 15. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs.
- 16. It is Plaintiff's belief that Defendant had a certificate of self-insurance at the time of the subject crash.
- 17. It is Plaintiff's belief that the crash at issue occurred while Defendant's certificate of self-insurance was in force.

- 18. It is believed that Plaintiff's injuries do not fall under any exclusion set forth in Defendant's certificate of self-insurance.
- 19. Plaintiff's attorney provided Defendant's attorney with the information necessary for Defendant as Self-Insurer to evaluate Plaintiff's claims, however, Defendant as Self-Insurer has not evaluated Plaintiff's claims.
- 20. It is Plaintiff's belief that under the terms of the certificate of self-insurance, Defendant as Self-Insurer had a contractual duty to pay for covered losses up to the certificate's limits for injuries sustained as the result of a crash caused by an underinsured motorist.
- 21. Defendant as Self-Insurer has wrongly denied underinsured motorist coverage for Plaintiff's injuries incurred as a result of the crash with Mr. Lagunas.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (AGAINST DEFENDANT/SELF-INSURER) BAD-FAITH DENIAL OF CLAIM

- 22. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs.
- 23. Defendant as Self-Insurer owed Plaintiff a duty of good faith and fair dealing implied from its entry into a certificate of self-insurance.
- 24. Defendant as Self-Insurer, acting without a reasonable basis, has denied underinsured motorist benefits under the certificate of self-insurance that are due to Plaintiff.
- 25. Defendant's actions, as Self-Insurer, constitute a breach of its duties to Plaintiff in that it failed to act fairly and reasonably toward Plaintiff and demonstrated a significant disregard of Plaintiffs' rights and economic interests.
- 26. Defendant as Self-Insurer had no reasonable basis for denying Plaintiff benefits under the certificate of self-insurance and had knowledge of, or a reckless disregard of, the lack of a reasonable basis for denying benefits.
- 27. Defendant's denial as Self-Insurer was intentional and attended by circumstances of oppression, fraud, and malice and was a breach of Defendant/Self-Insurer's duty of good faith and fair dealing toward Plaintiff.

- 28. Defendant's breach of its duty of good faith and fair dealing as Self-Insurer has caused and will cause Plaintiff to incur attorney's fees in the prosecution of this action.
- 29. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of its duty of good faith and fair dealing as Self-Insurer, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer economic damage and resulting emotional distress.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (AGAINST DEFENDANT/SELF-INSURER) VIOLATION OF STATE UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES ACT

- 30. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs.
- 31. By refusing to pay underinsured motorist benefits to Plaintiff as required by the certificate of self-insurance, Defendant as Self-Insurer has violated the provisions of the Unfair Trade Practices Act.
- 32. Because Defendant as Self-Insurer acted knowingly and willfully in violation of the Unfair Trade Practices Act, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages from Defendant as Self-Insurer.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (AGAINST DEFENDANT/SELF-INSURER) PUNITIVE DAMAGES

- 33. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs.
- 34. Defendant acted with oppression, fraud, express and implied malice, and a reckless disregard for the interests and rights of Plaintiff by refusing to provide compensation benefits owed to Plaintiff, entitling Plaintiff to an award of punitive damages pursuant to SDCL 21-3-2.
- 35. Upon information and belief, Defendant engaged in a pattern and practice of acting in bad faith.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION (AGAINST DEFENDANT/SELF-INSURER) ATTORNEY'S FEES

- 36. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs.
- 37. The denial of full payment of benefits owed pursuant to Plaintiff's underinsured motorist claim was made vexatiously and without reasonable cause, entitling Plaintiff to an award of attorney's fees incurred in an effort to

secure Defendants' compliance as Self-Insurer with the terms of the certificate of self-insurance coverage, pursuant to SDCL 58-12-3.

38. The acts herein complained of further constitute unfair trade practices in the business of insurance under SDCL 58-33-5 and SDCL 58-33-6, entitling Plaintiff to an award of attorney's fees pursuant to SDCL 58-33-46.1.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against the Defendant as Self-Insurer for all general and special damages suffered by Plaintiff, in an amount to be determined by the jury, together with Plaintiff's costs and disbursements herein, and for such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to the provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38, Plaintiff Scott Powell hereby demands a trial by jury of any issue triable of right by jury.

Dated the <u>27</u> day of September, 2023.

BEARDSLEY, JENSEN & LEE, Prof. L.L.C.

By:

Example 1. Ju

4200 Beach Drive, Suite 3

P.O. Box 9579

Rapid City, SD 57709

Telephone: (605) 721-2800 Facsimile: (605) 721-2801

E-mail: blee@blackhillslaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev. 04/21)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	DEFENDANTS	
SCOTT POWELL	FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Minnehaha (RXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Beardsley, Jensen & Lee, Prof LLC, P.O. Box 9579, Rapid City, SD 57709 - 605-721-2800	Attorneys (if Known)	
_	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place on "X" in One Box for Plaintiff, (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant)	
U.S., Government 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)	PTF DEF Citizen of This State PTF DEF 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 4 4 of Business In This State	
2 U.S. Government ×4 Diversity Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 X 5 of Business In Another State	
	Citizen or Subject of a 3 G 3 Foreign Nation 6 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place on "X" in One Box Only) Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.		
CONTRACT TORTS 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES Y 625 Drug Related Seizure 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 375 Folso Claims Act	
120 Marine 310 Afrplane 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 369 Other Personal Injury Product Liability 370 Other Fraud 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth In Lending 370 Other Personal Injury Product Liability 369 Other Personal Injury Product Liability 360 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Product Liability 360 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Product Liability 360 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 360 Other Personal Property 360	of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other 28 USC 157 3729(a)) 400 State Reopportionment PROPERTY RIGHTS 320 Copyrights 330 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark 880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Pemily and Medical Leave Act 1751 Pemily and Medical Leave Act 1750 Other Labor Litigation 7751 Pemily and Medical Leave Act 1750 Other Labor Litigation 7751 Pemily and Medical Leave Act 1750 Other Labor Litigation 7751 Pemily and Medical Leave Act 1750 Other Labor Litigation 1751 Employee Retirement 1751 Income Security Act 1751 Pinnily and Medical Leave Act 1751 Pinnily and Medical 1751 Pinnily Other Statutory Actions 1751 Pinnily Acti	
448 Education 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	Suit Gillutes	
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Original		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 28 U.S.C. Secilon 1332 Brief description of cause; Breach of contract and bad faith		
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$ - unspecified compensatory, punitive damages & attorney's fees CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: XYcs No	
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See Instructions): JUDGE	DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD		
9-27-2023 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		
RECEIPT # JUDGE MAG, JUDGE MAG, JUDGE		